

# UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 09/183,621 10/30/98 LIVESEY 49658-025 **EXAMINER** WM01/1106 HICKMAN PALERMO TRUONG & BECKER, LLP ART UNITER TON PAPER NUMBER BRIAN D. HICKMAN 1600 WILLOW STREET SAN JOSE, CA 95125-5106 DATE MAILED:

11/06/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

## Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/183,621

Applicant(s)

Livesey

Examiner

Chante' Harrison

Art Unit 2672

ı	1	I	П		Ш	Ш	Ш		Ш	Ш
ı	H	H	Ш	H	Ш		Ш	H	Ш	
ļ	II	П	ľ	ï		Ш		ľ		Ш

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Aug 22, 2	TTO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM  EFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed cation.							
	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa	arte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
	is/are pending in the application.							
	is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s)								
6) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1, 8, 15, and 17</u>	is/are rejected.							
7) 💢 Claim(s) <u>2-7, 9-14, 16, and 18-20</u>	is/are objected to.							
8) Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9) $\square$ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are	The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	iner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).								
a) All b) Some* c) None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.								
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic								
Attachment(s)								
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summery (PTO-413) Paper No(s).							
16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	20) Other:							

Art Unit:2672

### **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. This action is responsive to communications: Appeal Brief, filed on 8/22/01.
- 2. Claims 1-20 are pending in the case. Claims 1, 8, 15 and 17 are independent claims.

Claims 16-20 have been added. Claims 5 and 12 have been amended.

Application/Control Number: 09/183,621 (Livesey) Page 3

Art Unit:2672

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 8, 15 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jensen, U.S. Patent 5,956,043, 9/1999, 345/430 and further in view of Grunbaum et al., "Tilings and patterns", W.H. Freeman & Co., NY, 1986.

As per independent claim 1, Jensen discloses receiving texture image data (col. 6, ll. 10-21), covering a target area in a tiling pattern (col. 6, ll. 6, ll. 37-46; col. 7, ll. 4-15). Jensen fails to disclose an aperiodic tiling pattern, which is disclosed by Grunbaum as admitted by Applicant. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use the teachings of Jensen because he allows user or program manipulation of tile placement as does Grunbaum.

As per independent claim 8, Jensen discloses instructions (col. 6, ll. 1-8) for performing the method of claim 1. Therefore the rejection as applied to claim 1 is included herein.

Application/Control Number: 09/183,621 (Livesey)

Page 4

Art Unit:2672

As per independent claim 15, Jensen discloses a display (FIG. 10), a target area on the display (col. 7-8, ll. 55-10) and memory (col. 5, ll. 15-30). The rejection as applied to claim 1 is included herein.

As per independent claim 17, Jensen discloses means (FIG. 10) for implementing the method of claim 1. Therefore the rejection as applied to claim 1 is included herein.

Claims 2-7, 9-14, 16 and 18-20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit:2672

## Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit:2672

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Chante Harrison whose telephone number is (703) 305-3937.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Razavi, can be reached at (703) 305-4713.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

PRIMARY EXAMINER